

Diane Willcutts
 Education Advocacy, LLC
 846 Farmington Avenue, Suite 10
 West Hartford, CT 06119
 (860) 270-0151
diane.willcutts@gmail.com
www.educationadvocacyll.com

Connecticut Hearing Decisions by Hearing Officer
 Data from the Bureau of Special Education Website¹
 Decisions from 2011
 Prepared 5/21/2012

Data Summary²

During this time period, hearing officers each had 3 or fewer hearings go to decision. The exception was Oppenheim (5 decisions).
 Total decisions for boards (not mixed): 73% (8/11)
 Total decisions for parents (not mixed): 27% (3/11)
 Total decisions for pro se parents: 33% (2/6)
 Total decisions for represented parents: 20% (1/5)
 Oppenheim's decisions for parents: 0%

No.	Hearing Officer	Prevailing party	District	Parent rep.	Board rep.	Decision No.	Disability type	Info. related to decision
1	Borrino	Board	East Lyme	Barrington	Dorsey	11-0143	Autism	Board program appropriate; Soloman Schechter not appropriate
2	Borrino	Board	Groton	Berliner	Laubin	11-0193	Hearing impaired	Board program appropriate/placement at CREC Soundbridge not appropriate
3	Gelfman	Parent	Not stated	Pro se	Laubin	11-0144	OHI/bipolar	Homebound instruction provided, school needs to provide ESY as Spanish not included, PPT to explore use of electronic connection to enable participation in classes with peers.
4	Gelfman	Parent	Not stated	Surrogate parent/pro se	Moses	11-0239	Multiple disabilities	District delayed enrollment, compensatory ed. awarded

¹ Decisions of Connecticut Special Education Hearings are found here: <http://www.sde.ct.gov/sde/cwp/view.asp?a=2626&q=320712> Only decisions decided on the merits are included in this table.

<i>No.</i>	<i>Hearing Officer</i>	<i>Prevailing party</i>	<i>District</i>	<i>Parent rep.</i>	<i>Board rep.</i>	<i>Decision No.</i>	<i>Disability type</i>	<i>Info. related to decision</i>
5	Jerman	Board	RSD 14	Cvejanovich	Santiago	11-0364 11-0418 (consolidated)	Autism/twice exceptional	Board has right to conduct neuropsychological evaluation with its own choice of evaluator
6	Oppenheim	Board	Groton	Alfano	Laubin	11-0196	Autism (Aspergers)	Board program appropriate, no reimbursement for Franklin Academy
7	Oppenheim	Board	Stamford	Pro se	Bellach/Tracy	11-0212	Autism	Board program appropriate, parents not to be reimbursed for home program, placement at McCarton School denied
8	Oppenheim	Board/mixed	Greenwich	Pro se	Wadler, town counsel	11-0278	OHI/ADHD	Student's conduct not a manifestation, Board failed to convene manifestation hearing, Board failed to implement IEP but not directly related to discipline issue
9	Oppenheim	Board	New Haven	Pro se	Moses	11-0454	Autism	Board transportation appropriate
10	Oppenheim	Board/mixed	Somers	Schober	McKeon	11-0490	Speech/language impaired	Program is appropriate, no evidence student was bullied/harassed as a result of self-advocacy, removal as captain of high school dance team does not constitute change in educational placement—however, hearing officer indicates that dance team advisor's behavior was "outrageous" and school's response was inappropriate, award of compensatory education for counseling services
11	Rosado	Parent	Trumbull	Belanger	Laubin	11-0040	Autism	School program not appropriate, reimbursement for CCCD, CCCD not appropriate, transition to high school
12	Rosado	Board (filed)	Westport	Pro se	Moses	11-0355	Not identified as eligible	Board's evaluation was appropriate. Parent not entitled to IEE
13	Rosado	Board	Waterbury	Pro se	Tiernan, city counsel	11-0372	Speech/language impaired	No evidence of procedural violations, bullying not substantiated